

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/431,357	11/01/1999	PRASAD Y. CHEBROLU	2705-92	8571
7	7590 12/21/2001			
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC 1030 SW MORRISON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97205			EXAMINER	
			CALDWELL, ANDREW T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/431,357 Applicant(s)

Chebrolu

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Andrew Caldwell

Art Unit 2154

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM

- THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,	by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Nov 1</i> ,	1999				
	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex ,					
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>	is/are rejected.				
7)	is/are objected to.				
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is.	are objected to by the Examiner.				
 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1. 	is: a approved b disapproved.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h					
	ave been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)					
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
16) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:					

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. Claims 1-17 are presented for examination.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected under 37 CFR 1.72(b) because it is more than 150 words in length. The abstract should also be rewritten as a single paragraph. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 8-11, and 16-17 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White et al., U.S.
 Patent No. 5,933,490, in view of Fratto, M., More than
 Throughput: Managed Modem Chassis, Network Computing, vol. 7, no.
 17, pp. 1-5, Nov. 1996, and further in view of Carson et al.,
 U.S. Patent No. 4,629,832.

- See the service request switch. White teaches the invention substantially as claimed by disclosing an access server (Fig. 7 elems. 96 & 98) having associated channels (Fig. 7 elem. 94), the access server being operatively coupled with a service request switch (Fig. 7 elem. 54; Col. 15 lines 13-35).
- 6. White not teach the method steps listed in lines 4-9 of claim 1.
- 7. Fratto on the other hand teaches an access server with the ability to busy out all modems (i.e., associated channels) of a server when a system manager manually initiates preventive maintenance (p. 3 5th complete paragraph). Fratto therefore teaches the method step of a system manager manually determining whether off-line maintenance is needed on a network access server and, if so, suggests the general idea of busying out the modems/channels prior to taking the access server offline for maintenance.
- 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Fratto with the access server of White because of Fratto's teaching that this capability is a basic modem management function (p. 3 5th complete paragraph).

Serial Number: 09/431,357 4
Art Unit: 2154

9. The combination of White in view of Fratto does not teach the specific steps of busying out the system, which are:

- a. Communicating a busy condition of any associated channel from the network access server to the service request switch;
- b. Monitoring any used associated channel until the used associated channel becomes substantially unused;
- c. Signaling that maintenance on the network access server can be performed.
- 10. Carson on the other hand teaches a method for busying out the channels of a network device (Col. 10 line 56 to Col. 11 line 24), comprising:
- a. Communicating a busy condition of any [unused] associated channel from the network device to the service request switch (Col. 10 lines 57-61);
- b. Monitoring any used associated channel until the used associated channel becomes substantially unused (Col. 11 lines 9-12);
- c. Signaling that maintenance on the network device can be performed (Col. 11 lines 12-16 audible alert).
- 11. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of White in view of Fratto by substituting Carson's specific teachings for busying out a network device for the combination's general teaching of busying out the network access server,

thereby teaching the invention as claimed. This combination would have been obvious because of Carson's teaching that its method reduces interruptions to users of the system (Col. 1 lines 19-24).

- Regarding claim 2, the combination of White in view of Fratto and further in view of Carson teaches the invention substantially as claimed. See the rejection of claim 1 above. The combination as applied to claim 1 above does not teach the additional step of claim 2. Carson on the other hand teaches a method, which after completion of the maintenance, further comprises communicating an idle condition of any associated channel to the service request switch (Col. 1 lines 51-54). Ιt would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Carson's teaching regarding returning the state of the channels to idle after completing maintenance with the method of the combination of White in view of Fratto and further in view of Carson because returning the channels to the idle state allows the network access server to receive calls again, and thereby perform its intended function.
- 13. Regarding claim 3, the combination of White in view of Fratto and further in view of Carson, as applied to claim 2 above, teaches the invention substantially as claimed. The combination of White in view of Fratto and further in view of Carson does not explicitly teach the additional limitation of

claim 3. White does however teach that the central office switching system is connected to the access server via POTS or plain old telephone service connections (Col. 15 lines 13-16). Official notice is hereby taken of the fact that POTS connections use a standard signaling protocol to communicate the status of associated channels. White therefore teaches a system in which a standard communication protocol is used to communicate between the network access server and the service request switch. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the standard signaling protocol to communicate the busy/idle condition of any associated channel of the network access server to the switch because doing so increases the interoperability of the network access server, allowing it to be connected to the switches of different manufacturers.

14. Regarding claim 4, the combination of White in view of Fratto and further in view of Carson, as applied to claim 1 above, teaches the invention substantially as claimed. The combination of White in view of Fratto and further in view of Carson does not teach the additional limitation of claim 4. Official notice is hereby taken of the fact that it is known in the art to allocate the channels of a hunt group across multiple access servers. In such a system, any new client service requests that may arrive during a busy condition of the network access server are automatically routed another network access

server operatively coupled with the service request switch. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to distribute a hunt group across the multiple access servers of White because doing so would increase the reliabilaty of the system.

Regarding claim 8, / it is a method claim similar in scope to claim 2 but uses slightly different terminology. Claim 8 is directed to a method for temporarily taking a network access server offline for service while claim 2 is directed to a method for performing maintenance. Claim 8 has no step corresponding to claim 2's step of determining whether off-line maintenance is needed. So in this sense, claim 8 is broader. Claim 8 refers to busying out any unused channels while claim 2 refers to communicating a busy condition of any associated channels. Claim 8 refers to awaiting substantial non-use while claim 2 refers to monitoring. Although claims 2 and 8 differ in scope, there is subject matter, such as the combination of White in view of Fratto and further in view of Carson as applied to claim 2, that falls within the scope of both claims. Accordingly, separate reasons for rejection are not necessary here, and the Applicant is directed to the remarks above with respect to claim 2. 16. Regarding claim 9-10, they reasoning used to reject claim 4 applies equally to them.

Serial Number: 09/431,357

Art Unit: 2154

8

17. As to claim 11, Carson teaches a method further comprising scheduling the service manually by command to the given access server (Col. 9 lines 42-45).

- 18. Regarding claims 16-17, they are computer-readable medium claims corresponding to method claims 1-2, respectively. Since they do not teach or define above the information in the corresponding method claims, they are rejected under the same basis.
- 19. Claims 5-7 and (12) 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White in view of Fratto and further in view of Carson, and further in view of Fratto, M., Accessing the Enterprise: Large-Scale RAS to the Rescue, Network Computing, pp 1-8, April, 1999, hereinafter Fratto II.
 - 20. Regarding claim 5, the preamble will be given patentable weight since the claim body refers back to the preamble. See the telco switch at line 6. White teaches the invention substantially as claimed by disclosing an apparatus comprising a network access server Fig. 7 elems. 96 & 98) operatively coupled with a telephone company (telco) switch (Fig. 7 elem. 94).
 - 21. White does not teach the remaining limitations of claim 5.
 - 22. Fratto on the other hand teaches an access server with the ability to busy out all modems (i.e., associated channels) of a

Serial Number: 09/431,357

Art Unit: 2154

9

server when a system manager manually initiates preventive maintenance (p. 3 5th complete paragraph).

- 23. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Fratto with the access server of White because of Fratto's teaching that this capability is a basic modem management function (p. 3 5th complete paragraph).
- 24. Although the combination of White in view of Fratto teaches a telco switch coupled to an access server including a general busying out capability, the combination of White in view of Fratto does not teach the specific limitations of:
- a. A scheduler for scheduling off-line maintenance for a given network access server;
- b. A channel usage monitor responsive to said scheduler for monitoring usage of the associated channels of the given network access server;
- c. A make-busy mechanism responsive to said channel usage monitor and coupled with the telco switch for signaling the telco switch that all channels are busy and whereby maintenance is performed on the given network access server after said signaling and upon a determination by said channel usage monitor that no channel is currently in use.
- 25. Carson on the other hand teaches a system comprising:

Serial Number: 09/431,357
Art Unit: 2154

10

a. A channel usage monitor responsive to a system administrator manually initiating maintenance for monitoring usage of the associated channels of the given network access server (Col. 9 lines 42-45; Col. 11 lines 9-12);

- b. A make-busy mechanism responsive to said channel usage monitor and coupled with the telco switch for signaling the telco switch that all channels are busy (Col. 10 lines 57-61) and whereby maintenance is performed on the given network access server after said signaling and upon a determination by said channel usage monitor that no channel is currently in use (Col. 11 lines 9-16).
- 26. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of White in view of Fratto by substituting Carson's specific teachings for busying out a network device for the combination's general teaching of busying out the network access server, thereby teaching the invention as claimed. This combination would have been obvious because of Carson's teaching that its method reduces interruptions to users of the system (Col. 1 lines 19-24).
- 27. The combination of White in view of Fratto and further in view of Carson does not teach a system including a scheduler for scheduling off-line maintenance for a given network access server and a channel usage monitor responsive to the scheduler. As

Serial Number: 09/431,357

Art Unit: 2154

discussed above, the combination teaches a system in which a system administrator manually initiates maintenance.

- 28. Fratto II on the on the other hand teaches a scheduler for scheduling/initiating off-line maintenance for a given network access server (p. 5 3rd complete paragraph).
- 29. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Frato II's scheduler with the system of the combination of White in view of Fratto and further in view of Carson by having a scheduler automatically initiate maintenance on the combination's access server by busying out the channels. This combination would have been obvious because automating maintenance tasks through use of a scheduler reduces labor costs.
- 30. Regarding claim 6, the combination of White in view of
 Fratto and further in view of Carson and further in view of
 Fratto II teaches the invention substantially as claimed. See
 the rejection of claim 5 above. The combination does not
 specifically teach the additional limitation of claim 6. White
 does however teach that the central office switching system is
 connected to the access server via POTS or plain old telephone
 service connections (Col. 15 lines 13-16). Official notice is
 hereby taken of the fact that POTS connections use a standard
 signaling protocol to communicate the status of associated
 channels. White therefore teaches a system in which a standard
 communication protocol is used to communicate between the network

access server and the service request switch. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the standard signaling protocol to communicate the busy/idle condition of any associated channel of the network access server to the switch because doing so increases the interoperability of the network access server, allowing it to be connected to the switches of different manufacturers.

- 31. Regarding claim 7, a system wherein the signaling by the make busy mechanism is performed via a standard communication protocol is also in accordance with predefined network software, firmware, and hardware infrastructures. Software, firmware, and hardware infrastructures make up the structure in which a network protocol is implemented. In addition, a standard protocol is inherently predefined. Accordingly, the remarks given with respect to claim 6 apply equally to claim 7.
- 32. Regarding claim 12, the combination of White in view of Fratto and further in view of Carson, as applied to claim 8 above, teaches the invention substantially as claimed.
- 33. The combination of White in view of Fratto and further in view of Carson does not explicitly teach the additional limitation of claim 12.
- 34. Fratto II on the on the other hand teaches a scheduler for scheduling/initiating off-line maintenance for a given network access server (p. 5 3rd complete paragraph).

13

Serial Number: 09/431,357

Art Unit: 2154

35. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Frato II's scheduler with the system of the combination of White in view of Fratto and further in view of Carson by having a scheduler automatically initiate maintenance on the combination's access server by busying out the channels. This combination would have been obvious because automating maintenance tasks through use of a scheduler reduces labor costs.

36. Regarding claims 13-15, they are computer readable media claims corresponding to apparatus claims 5-7, respectively. Since they do not teach or define above the information in the corresponding apparatus claims, they are rejected under the same basis.

Conclusion

- 37. A shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.
- 38. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Caldwell, whose telephone number is (703) 306-3036. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by phone fail, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached at (703) 305-9678. Additionally, the fax numbers for Group 2100 are as follows:

Official Responses: (703) 746-7239
After Final Responses: (703) 746-7238
Draft Responses: (703) 746-7240

andrew Glowell

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 305-3900.

Andrew Caldwell

703-306-3036

December 15, 2001